Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

Questions about MPMP results should be addressed to:

Name: SHARON MARSHALL	Phone: (519) 855-4407	
Title: DIRECTOR OF FINANCE		
Municipality: THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ERIN		
Email: sharon.marshall@erin.ca		

Related documents and links:

Town of Erin website - www.erin.ca

Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs & Housing website - www.mah.gov.on.ca

Local Government

CONTACT PERSON FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT: Town Manager/CAO

	1.1 GEN	ERAL GOVER	NMENT - EFF	ICIENCY	
4.23		2011	2010	2009	
1.1 a)	Operating costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal operating costs.	9.8%	10.0%	10.8%	
1.1 b)	Total costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal costs.				
	OBJECTIVE: Efficient local government.				
	REFERENCE: • The formulas for efficiency measures w consistent with accrual accounting concep costs mean operating costs as defined in M received from other municipalities for tangi	ts. New total cos /IPMP, plus amo	t measures wer rtization and inte	e introduced a	nd revised in 2010. Total

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

Fire Services

CONTACT PERSON FOR FIRE SERVICES: Fire Chief Dan Callaghan

2.1 a)Operating costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.2011201020092.1 b)Total costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.\$0.36\$0.38\$0.432.1 b)Total costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.\$0.44\$0.47		2.1 FIRE SER	VICES	6 – EFFIC	CIENCY		
\$1,000 of assessment. \$0.36 \$0.38 \$0.43 2.1 b) Total costs for fire services per \$1,000 \$0.43 \$0.43		2011		2010		2009	34 W 112
		\$ 0.36	\$	0.38	\$	0.43	
	2.1 b) Total costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.	\$ 0.44	\$	0.47			

• The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.

		2011	2010	2009	
2.2	Number of residential fire related civilian injuries per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	
2.3	Number of residential fire related civilian injuries averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	

Minimize the number of civilian injuries in residential fires.

	2.4 & 2.5 CIVILIAN	FIRE RELATE	D FATALITIES	- EFFECTIVEN	ESS
<u> 22</u>		2011	2010	2009	
2.4	Number of residential fire related civilian fatalities per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	
2.5	Number of residential fire related civilian fatalities averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	OBJECTIVE: Minimize the number of civilian fatalities in	n residential fires.	÷.		

	2.6 NUMBER OF RES	DENTIAL STR	UCTURAL FIR	ES – EFFECTIVENI	ESS
1 22		2011	2010	2009	
2.6	Number of residential structural fires per 1,000 households.	0.711	0.719	1.026	
	OBJECTIVE: Minimize the number of residential structu	ral fires.	4		

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

Building Permits & Inspection Services

CONTACT PERSON FOR BUILDING PERMITS & **INSPECTION SERVICES: CBO Andrew Hartholt**

		2011				
Operating costs for building permits and inspection services per \$1,000 of contruction activity (based on permits issued).	\$	13,05				
Total costs for building permits and inspection services per \$1,000 of contruction activity (based on permits issued).	\$	13.17				
OBJECTIVE: Efficient building permits and inspection	n serv	ices.				

MPIMP measures for building services were introduced

	4.2 REVIEW OF COMPLETE BUILDING	PERMIT APPLICATIONS – EFFECTIVENESS
4.2	2011 Median number of days to review a complete building permit application and issue a permit or not issue a permit, and provide all reasons for refusal:	
a)	Category 1: Houses (houses not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres) 9 Reference: provincial standard is 10 working days.	
b)	Category 2: Small Buildings (small commercial/industrial not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres) Reference: provincial standard is 15 working days.	
c)	Category 3: Large Buildings (large residential/commercial/ industrial/ institutional) 7 Reference: provincial standard is 20 working days.	
d)	Category 4: Complex buildings (post disaster buildings, including hospitals, power/water, fire/police/EMS, communications) Reference: provincial standard is 30 working days.	
	OBJECTIVE: Complete bulding permit applications are processed qu	uickly and accurately.
	REFERENCE:MPMP measures for building permits and inspection	n services were introduced in 2011,

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

Roads

CONTACT PERSON FOR ROADS: Road Superintendent Larry VanWyck

2011 2010	
roads per lane kilometre. ¹ \$ 2,439.07 \$ 2,011.50	perating costs for paved (hard top) bads per lane kilometre. ¹
5.1 b) Total costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre. \$ 8,323.10 \$ 7,629.17	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient maintenance of paved roads.	

• ¹ The formulas for efficiency measures for paved roads were revised in 2010 to net out revenue received from utilities for utility cut repairs.

• The Total cost measure was also revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.

		5.2 U		RO/	ADS – EFF				
			2011		2010		2009		
5.2 a)	Operating costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$	3,782.93	\$	3,834.36	\$	3,189.07		
5.2 b)	Total costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$	4,572.17	\$	4,639.22				
	OBJECTIVE: Efficient maintenance of unpaved roa	ds.							
	 REFERENCE: The formulas for efficiency measu consistent with accrual accounting co costs mean operating costs as define received from other municipalities for 	ncep [.] d in N	ts. New tota /IPMP, plus	l cos amo	st measures ortization and	wer	e introduced a	nd revised	in 2010

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

	5.3 B	RIDG	ES AND	CUL	/ERTS –	EFFIC	IENCY		
	El Martin Martin Martin Part		2011		2010		2009	C. C. C. C.	dust
5.3 a)	Operating costs for bridges and culverts per square metre of surface area.	\$	9.33	\$	8.35	\$	4.24		
5.3 b)	Total costs for bridges and culverts per square metre of surface area.	\$	39.05	\$	38.08				

Efficient maintenance of bridges and culverts.

REFERENCE:

			2011		2010		2009	
5.4 a)	Operating costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$	388.49	\$	278.66	\$	402.29	
5.4 b)	Total costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$	388.49	\$	278.66			
	OBJECTIVE: Efficient winter maintenance of roads.							
	 REFERENCE: The formulas for efficiency measure consistent with accrual accounting con costs mean operating costs as defined received from other municipalities for ta 	cepts in Ml	. New total PMP, plus	cost amor	measures tization and	were	introduced and revised in 20	10. Tot

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

	5.5 ADEQUA	CY OF PAVED	ROADS - EFI	ECTIVENESS	6	
1		2011	2010	2009	2008	200
	of paved lane kilometres Indition is rated as good .1	32.9%	31.4%	30.9%	57.6%	9.7%
OBJECTIVE Pavement co	: ondition meets municipal obje	ctives.				
REEPENC	-					

REFERENCE:

¹Pavement condition is rated using a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) such as the Index used by the Ontario
 2. A subscript of the Minister of Transportation is provided by the Ontario

Good Roads Association (OGRA) or the Ministry of Transportation's Roads Inventory Management System (RIMS).

5.6 ADEQUACY O	F BRIDGES AN	D CULVERTS	- EFFECTIVE	NESS
	2011	2010	2009	
.6 Percentage of bridges and culverts where the condition is rated as good to very good. ¹	25.0%	25.0%	27.1%	
OBJECTIVE: Safe bridges and culverts.		n.		

• The effectiveness measure for bridges and culverts was introduced in 2009.

• ¹A bridge or culvert is rated in good to very good condition if distress to the primary components is minimal, requiring only maintenance. Primary components are the main load carrying components of the structure, including the deck, beams, girders, abutments, foundations, etc.

5.7 WINTER	R EVENT RESPO	DNSES – EFF	ECTIVENESS		
	2011	2010	2009	2008	200
5.7 Percentage of winter events where the response met or exceeded locally determined municipal service levels for road maintenance.	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
OBJECTIVE: Response to winter storm events meets I					

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

Drinking Water

CONTACT PERSON FOR DRINKING WATER: Water Superintendent Frank Smedley

9.1 DRIN	IKIN	G WATER	R TE	REATMEN	[— L	
		2011		2010		2009
perating costs for the treatment of inking water per megalitre.	\$	1,054.42	\$	1,258.27	\$	1,665.29
otal costs for the treatment of inking water per megalitre.	\$	1,262.90	\$	1,577.67		The second

REFERENCE:

1200	n na statu se desta se	2011		2010		2009		P 272	
9.2 a)	Operating costs for the distribution/ transmission of drinking water per kilometre of water distribution pipe.	\$ 11,178.06	\$	11,139.21	\$	9,267.41			
9.2 b)	Total costs for the distribution/ transmission of drinking water per kilometre of water distribution pipe.	\$ 15,566.12	\$	14,932.91	North State				
	OBJECTIVE: Efficient municipal water distribution/tr	ansmission servi	ces				5		
	REFERENCE: • The formulas for efficiency measure consistent with accrual accounting corr costs mean operating costs as defined received from other municipalities for t	cepts. New total I in MPMP, plus	cos amo	st measures ortization an	wer	e introduced ar	id revised ir	n 2010. T	

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

		2011	2010	2009
9.3 a)	Operating costs for the treatment and distribution/transmission of drinking water per megalitre (integrated system).	\$ 1,784.57	\$ 1,999.92	\$ 2,387.47
9.3 b)	Total costs for the treatment and distribution/transmission of drinking water per megalitre (integrated system).	\$ 2,279.67	\$ 2,571.91	

REFERENCE:

	5.4 BOIL W	ATENADVIOC	DRIES – EFFE	OHVENEOU		
		2011	2010	2009	2008	200
9,4	Weighted number of days when a boil water advisory issued by the medical officer of health, applicable to a municipal water supply, was in effect.	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000
	OBJECTIVE: Water is safe and meets local needs.					

9.5 BREAKS IN WATER MAINS – EFFECTIVENESS											
35 0		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007					
9.5	Number of water main breaks per 100 kilometres of water distribution pipe in a year.	12.12	9.09	6.25	12.50	6.25					
	OBJECTIVE: Improve system reliability.										

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

Parks and Recreation

CONTACT PERSON FOR PARKS AND RECREATION: CAO, Graham Smith, John Cunningham

	11.1	PAR	KS – EF	FICIEN			
		2011		2010		009	
11.1 a) Operating costs for parks per person.							
11.1 b) Total costs for parks per person.							
OBJECTIVE: Efficient operation of parks.							
 REFERENCE: The formulas for efficiency measures consistent with accrual accounting consistent with accrual accounting costs mean operating costs as define received from other municipalities for 	ncepts. Ne d in MPM	ew tota P, plus	l cost me amortiza	easures	were introd	uced a	nd revised in 2010. Total
44.01			DROCI	DAME	EFFICIEN		
	RECREA	-	1				
	-	2011		2010	2	009	
11.2 a) Operating costs for recreation	\$	Ξ.	\$		\$		

per person.

Efficient operation of recreation programs,

REFERENCE:

programs per person. 11.2 b) Total costs for recreation programs

• The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.

\$

.....

\$

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

CHARLES AND ANY	[2011	2010	 2009	
 a) Operating costs for recreation facilities per person. 	\$	147.11	\$ 169.68	\$ 117.81	
11.3 b) Total costs for recreation facilities per person.	\$	173.62	\$ 193.57		
OBJECTIVE: Efficient operation of recreation facilitie					

	11.4 RECREATION PR				ENCY						
			2011		2010		2009				
11,4 a)	Operating costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal)	\$	147.11	\$	169.68	\$	117.81				
11.4 b)	Total costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal)	\$	173.62	\$	193.57						
	OBJECTIVE: Efficient operation of recreation programs and facilities.										
	 REFERENCE: The formulas for efficiency measure consistent with accrual accounting con costs mean operating costs as defined received from other municipalities for the 	cepts in MF	. New total PMP, plus :	cost amor	measures tization and	were	introduced a	nd revised in 20	10, Tota		

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

	11.5 TRAILS – EFFECTIVENESS												
	12 Carton Carton - The State State State	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007							
11.5	Total kilometres of trails	4	4	4	4								
11.5	Total kilometres of trails per 1,000 persons	0.401	0.397	0.338	0.397								
	OBJECTIVE: Trails provide recreation opportunities.	n!											

11.6 OPEN SPACE – EFFECTIVENESS							
196		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	
11.6	Hectares of open space (municipally owned)	25	25	9	9		
11.6	Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned)	2.507	2.483	0.761	0.894		
	OBJECTIVE: Open space is adequate for population.						

11.7 PARTICIPANT HOURS FOR RECREATION PROGRAMS EFFECTIVENESS							
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007		
11.7 Total participant hours for recreation programs per 1,000 persons.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
OBJECTIVE:							

Recreation programs serve needs of residents.

		2011	2010	2009	2008	200
11.8	Square metres of indoor recreation facilities (municipally owned).	7,973	7,973	7,636	7,636	
11.8	Square metres of indoor recreation facilities per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).	799.378	791.915	645.478	758.443	

Indoor recreation facility space is adequate for population.

	11.9 OUTDOOR RE	CREATION FA	ACILITY SPAC	E – EFFECTI	/ENESS	
4.21		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
11.9	Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space (municipally owned).	172,766	172,766	172,766	172,766	
11.9	Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).		17,159.9	14,604.1	17,159.9	
	OBJECTIVE: Outdoor recreation facility space is adequ	uate for populatic	on.			